Label	Type	Submitted By	Total Dev	. CM Pairings	Notes
101	DDA	Chaise Rasheed	4.61%	Adam & McNamee (4)	
101	<u>DRA</u>	Kasneed	4.0170	MCNamee (4)	
				Adam &	
102	DRA	Drew Pletcher	5.16%	McNamee (4)	
103	DRA	Connor Henry	9.38%	Adam & McNamee (4)	"Here is a short explanation of the thought process behind the map. It was drawn to preserve, as much as possible, communities within TO. District 1 is drawn to include much of Newbury Park, including Dos Vientos. It is bounded by the 101 and Ventu Park Road. District 2 was drawn to include the remaining sections of Newbury Park, in addition to Wildwood and the area by Los Robles. District 3 was drawn to include much of central TO, bounded by the 23, and Lynn, Olsen and Pederson Roads. However, a precinct was given to district 4in order to keep CLU within the same district, while a neighborhood east of the 23 was added to district 3 to keep population even. District 4 includes CLU and Sunset Hills. District 5 includes Westlake and North Ranch."
404	55.	Chaise	6 400/	Adam &	
104	DRA	Rasheed	6.40%	McNamee (4)	D4 :
105 106	DRA DRA	Rusty Spragg	5.40%	none	D1 is non-contiguous
106B	<u>DRA</u>	Rusty Spragg NDC	3.13% 4.74%	none	
107	DR A	Jamie Spragg	5.40%	none none	Duplicate of Map 105
107	DICI	Janne Spragg	3.4070	Adam &	Not population balanced; D1 is non-
108		Nat Fara	31.18%	Newman (4)	contiguous
109	paper	Josh Wright	5.51%	none	D1 is non-contiguous
110	<u>DRA</u>	Peter Vlaskovits	6.93%	Adam & McNamee (4)	
111	DRA	TO Blvd Assn (Shawn Moradian)	5.12%	none	"We propose the following map which gives each current councilmember their own district, conforms to the allowable population per district, and keeps the Core Downtown Business District in one central district"
111B		NDC	7.75%	none	
112	paper	Carol Partington	8.06%	Adam & McNamee & Newman (4)	

113	DRA	Chaise Rasheed	0.20%	Adam & McNamee (4)	"Upon hearing council discussion about the maps selected for focus, I have revised Map 104 to have a lower deviation while still adhering to the Voting Rights Act and keeping communities of interests together. It assures that areas of lower income status are kept together (for example, low income neighborhoods along the 101 and in between Thousand Oaks Boulevard and Hillcrest drive are not combined with the wealthier Conejo Oaks neighborhood). The central district does not cross Tarantula Hill and stays as far to the east of Moorpark Road and Gainsborough Road as possible. It also runs cleanly along the 23 Freeway. As lower income neighborhoods are situated along freeway corridors it makes sense to use these freeways as fair boundaries. It also assures that the 101 Freeway is not crossed in Newbury Park and that Newbury Park south of the 101 is all in one district. Overall, the map has a deviation that is very balanced and nearly zero between districts.
114	DRA	Sharon Debidour	3.24%	Engler & Newman (3), Adam & McNamee (4)	"This map is most similar to the Conejo Valley School District Map and will minimize voter confusion while fairly representing the common interests of each district."
115	DRA	Patrissha Booker	0.50%	Adam & McNamee (4)	
116	DRA	Betsy Connolly	2.02%	none	_
Gre	en	NDC	5.53%	none	1
Orange		NDC	4.43%	none	†
Yellow		NDC	4.22%	Adam & McNamee (4)	